1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11   THEODORE J. VIGIL,   Case No. 2:24-cv-02109-TLN-JDP

12   Plaintiff,

13   v.   ORDER

14   DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT
      SERVICES,
15
                     Defendant.
16

17
            Plaintiff proceeds in this civil action without counsel. Accordingly, the matter was
18
      referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302.
19
            On April 4, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which
20
      were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and
21
      recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff filed objections on April 16,
22
      2025, and they were considered by the undersigned.
23
            The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602
24
      F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.
25
      See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the
26
      magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . . .").
27
      Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by
28

                                                    1

the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed April 3, 2025, are ADOPTED IN FULL;

2. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 4) is DISMISSED without leave to amend for lack of jurisdiction; and

3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

Date: April 24, 2025

_____
TROY L. NUNLEY
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2